

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/732,860	12/11/2003	Shinji Yamamoto	61282-050	8167	
7590 01/24/2008 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			NEGRON, WANDA M		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/732,860	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Wanda M. Negrón	2622			

	Wanda M. Negrón	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods:	th e same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tic e of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 (nce, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI f).	late of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the for statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action	ension fee under 31 ; or (2e)tássrth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explance a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ctension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324)
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-524).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendm	ent canceling
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	mowable if subtricted in a separate	, timely med amendm	ent canceling
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	-		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will your or other evidence it	not be entered s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See continuation sheet.		in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		1
13.		Sals	

DAVID OMETZ

Applicant asserts on page 2 that "Ward fails to disclose the step of comparing the lightness value of at least two of said at least two lines". The examiner maintains that Ward teaches comparing, i.e. substracting the values to obtain a change in lightness (see figure 2), the lightness value (indicated by the APL) of lines n and n-2 to twice the lightness value of line n-1 (see figure 2).

In response to applicant's argument that that the invention of Ward is unable to detect a small change in signal level of adjacent lines and that the invention of Ward is also unable to detect when a significant change occurs followed by a steady signal, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., detecting a small change in signal level of adjacent lines and detecting a large change when followed by a steady signal), are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

For the foregoing reasons, the previous rejections are still deemed proper and the position of record has been maintained.